AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

 (Currently amended) A computer-implemented method for determining whether a software application is properly installed on target computer, comprising:

obtaining a validation manifest from the software provider of the software application for validating the installation of the software application, the validation manifest comprising computer-executable validation actions for determining whether the software application is properly installed on the target computer and a computer-executable corrective action for correcting an improperly installed software application, wherein the validation actions comprise executing a comparison instruction independent of the execution of the software application to compare an aspect of the software application to corresponding validation response information in the validation manifest:

executing the validation actions in the validation manifest, wherein each executed validation action results in a positive result or a negative result;

detecting whether a predetermined threshold number of negative results is resulted,

if so: executing a corrective action associated with each validation action that results in a negative result; and

based on the results of the executed validation actions, determining whether the software application is properly installed on the target computer.

(Previously presented) The method of Claim 1, wherein the validation actions
comprise executing a validation program that executes separate from the software application
and returns results indicating whether aspects of the software application are properly installed
on the target computer.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS**LC 140 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98 101 20.66.82.8100 3. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 1, wherein the validation actions comprise executing a validation routine in a loadable module associated with the software application that returns results indicating whether aspects of the software application are properly

installed on the target computer.

4. (Cancelled)

5. (Original) The method of Claim 4, wherein the aspect of the software application

compared by the comparison instruction is the modification date of a file provided as part of the

software application.

6. (Original) The method of Claim 4, wherein the aspect of the software application

compared by the comparison instruction is the file size of a file provided as part of software

application.

7. (Original) The method of Claim 4, wherein the aspect of the software application

compared by the comparison instruction is the version number of a shared library module used

by the software application.

8. (Original) The method of Claim 4, wherein the aspect of the software application

compared by the comparison instruction is the version number of a library module provided as

part of the software application.

9. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 4, wherein the aspect of the

software application compared by the comparison instruction is a system registry value of the

computer system upon which the software application is installed and associated with the

software application.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS*** 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100 10. (Original) The method of Claim 4, wherein the aspect of the software application

compared by the comparison instruction is a system environment setting.

11. (Original) The method of Claim 1, wherein the validation manifest further

comprises installation information for installing the software application on the target computer.

12. (Cancelled)

13. (Currently amended) A system for validating whether a software component is

properly installed on a target computer, the system comprising:

a processor; and

a memory, the memory storing and software application, and further storing a validation

module, wherein the validation module:

obtains a validation manifest associated with the software application from the

provider of the software application, the validation manifest comprising a plurality of

computer-executable validation actions for determining whether the software application is

properly installed on the target computer, wherein the validation actions comprise executing a

comparison instruction independent of the execution of the software application to compare an

aspect of the software application to corresponding validation response information in the

validation manifest;

executes each validation action in the validation manifest until a predetermined

threshold number of negative results is detected, wherein the executed validation action results in

a positive result or a negative result; and

based on the results of the executed validation actions, determines whether the

software application is properly installed on the target computer.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS*** 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100

-4-

14. (Original) The system of Claim 13, wherein the at least one validation action comprises a validation program associated with the software application that, when executed,

returns results indicating whether aspects of the software application are properly installed on the

target computer.

15. (Original) The system of Claim 13, wherein the at least one validation action

comprises a validation routine in a loadable library associated with the software application that,

when called, returns results indicating whether aspects of the software application are properly

installed on the target computer.

16. (Original) The system of Claim 13, wherein the at least one validation action

comprises a comparison instruction to compare an aspect of the software application to

corresponding validation response information in the validation manifest.

17. (Original) The system of Claim 16, wherein the aspect of the software application

compared by the comparison instruction is the modification date of a file provided as part of the

software application.

18. (Original) The system of Claim 16, wherein the aspect of the software application

compared by the comparison instruction is the file size of a file provided as part of software

application.

19. (Original) The system of Claim 16, wherein the aspect of the software application

compared by the comparison instruction is the version number of a shared library module used

by the software application.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNON JOHNSON KINDNESS*** 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100 (Original) The system of Claim 16, wherein the aspect of the software application compared by the comparison instruction is the version number of a library module provided as

part of the software application.

21. (Previously presented) The system of Claim 16, wherein the aspect of the

software application compared by the comparison instruction is a system registry value

associated with the software application.

22. (Original) The system of Claim 16, wherein the aspect of the software application

compared by the comparison instruction is a system environment setting.

23. (Original) The system of Claim 13, wherein the validation manifest further

comprises installation information for installing the software application on the target computer.

24. (Original) The system of Claim 13, wherein the validation module, upon

detecting a negative result from executing a validation action, executes a corrective action

associated with the validation action.

25. (Currently amended) A networked computing environment for validating

whether a software application is properly installed on a client computer, the system comprising:

a client computer upon which the software application is installed; and

an administrator computer, the administrator computer operable to:

obtain a validation manifest from the provider of the software application, the

validation manifest comprising validation actions for determining whether the software application is properly installed on the client computer, each validation action comprising a

application is properly installed on the client computer, each validation action comprising a

computer-executable action for determining at least one aspect of whether the software

application is properly installed on the client computer, data for use in the computer-executable

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS**1.cc 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800 Scattle, Washington 98101 20.66.82.8100

-6-

action, and a result value indicative of whether at least the one aspect of the software application

is properly installed on the client computer;

carry out the validation actions in the validation manifest until a predetermined

threshold number of negative results is detected, wherein each executed validation action results

in a positive result or a negative result; and

based on the results of carrying out the validation actions, determine whether the

software application is properly installed on the client computer.

26. (Original) The networked computing environment of Claim 25, wherein the

validation actions comprise a validation program associated with the software application which,

when executed, returns results indicating whether aspects of the software application are

properly installed on the client computer.

27. (Original) The networked computing environment of Claim 25, wherein the

validation actions comprise a validation routine in a loadable library on the client computer

associated with the software application which, when called, returns results indicating whether

aspects of the software application are properly installed on the client computer.

28. (Original) The networked computing environment of Claim 25, wherein the

validation actions comprise a comparison instruction to compare an aspect of the software

application installed on the client computer to corresponding validation response information in

the validation manifest.

29. (Original) The networked computing environment of Claim 28, wherein the

aspect of the software application compared by the comparison instruction is the modification

date of a file on the client computer installed as part of the software application.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS*u.c 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100

-7-

30. (Original) The networked computing environment of Claim 28, wherein the aspect of the software application compared by the comparison instruction is the file size of a file

installed as part of software application.

31. (Original) The networked computing environment of Claim 28, wherein the

aspect of the software application compared by the comparison instruction is the version number

of a shared library module used by the software application.

32 (Original) The networked computing environment of Claim 28, wherein the

aspect of the software application compared by the comparison instruction is the version number

of a library module installed as part of the software application.

33. (Previously presented) The networked computing environment of Claim 28,

wherein the aspect of the software application compared by the comparison instruction is a

system registry value on the client computer associated with the software application.

(Original) The networked computing environment of Claim 28, wherein the

aspect of the software application compared by the comparison instruction is an system

environment setting on the client computer.

35 (Original) The networked computing environment of Claim 25, wherein the

validation manifest further comprises installation information for installing the software

application on the client computer.

36.

(Original) The networked computing environment of Claim 25, wherein the

administrator computer is further operable to, upon detecting a negative result from executing a

validation action, execute a corrective action associated with the validation action.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLIC 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800 Scattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100

-8-

37. (Currently amended) A computer-readable medium having computer-readable

instructions which, when executed, carry out the method comprising:

obtaining a validation manifest associated with the software application from the

software application provider, the validation manifest comprising computer-executable validation actions for determining whether the software application is properly installed on the

target computer;

executing the validation actions in the validation, wherein each executed validation action

results in a positive result or a negative result;

detecting whether a predetermined threshold number of negative results is resulted,

if so: executing a corrective action associated with each validation action that results in a

negative result; and

based on the results of the executed validation actions, determining whether the software

application is properly installed on the target computer.

38. (Original) The method of Claim 37, wherein the validation actions comprise a

validation program associated with the software application that, when executed, returns results

indicating whether aspects of the software application are properly installed on the target

computer.

39. (Original) The method of Claim 37, wherein the validation actions comprise a

validation routine in a loadable module associated with the software application that, when

called, returns results indicating whether aspects of the software application are properly

installed on the target computer.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS**** 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101 20.66.82, 81.00

-9-

 (Original) The method of Claim 37, wherein the validation actions comprise a comparison instruction to compare an aspect of the software application to corresponding

validation response information in the validation manifest.

41. (Original) The method of Claim 40, wherein the aspect of the software

application compared by the comparison instruction is the modification date of a file provided as

part of the software application.

42. (Original) The method of Claim 40, wherein the aspect of the software

application compared by the comparison instruction is the file size of a file provided as part of

software application.

43. (Original) The method of Claim 40, wherein the aspect of the software

application compared by the comparison instruction is the version number of a shared library

module used by the software application.

44. (Original) The method of Claim 40, wherein the aspect of the software

application compared by the comparison instruction is the version number of a library module

provided as part of the software application.

45. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 40, wherein the aspect of the

software application compared by the comparison instruction is a system registry value

associated with the software application.

46. (Original) The method of Claim 40, wherein the aspect of the software

application compared by the comparison instruction is a system environment setting.

LAW OFFICES OF
CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSYLLE
1420 Fifth Avenue
Suite 2800
Scattle Wachington 98101

Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100 47. (Original) The method of Claim 37, wherein the validation manifest further

 $comprises \ installation \ information \ for \ installing \ the \ software \ application \ on \ the \ target \ computer.$

48. (Original) The method of Claim 37 further comprising, upon detecting a negative

result from executing a validation action, executing a corrective action associated with the

validation action.

49. (Currently amended) A computer implemented method for determining whether a

plurality of software applications are properly installed on a target computer, the method

comprising:

identifying a plurality of software applications installed on the target computer; and

for each identified software application:

obtaining a validation manifest associated with the software application from the

provider of the software application, the validation manifest comprising validation actions for

determining whether the software application is properly installed on the target computer,

wherein each validation action in the validation manifest comprises a token corresponding to a

computer-executable action, data for use by the computer-executable action in validating the

software application, and an expected result of the computer-executable action indicative of a

valid installation;

executing the validation actions in the validation manifest until a predetermined

threshold number of negative results is detected, wherein each executed validation action results

in a positive result or a negative result; and

based on the results of the executed validation actions, determining whether the

software application is properly installed on the target computer.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS^{PLLC} 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800

Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100 50. (New) The method of Claim 1, wherein executing a corrective action further includes executing a chain of corrective actions for correcting improperly installed software application.